

I include two planning references as I believe these should be considered by committee as one item. There are documents on each application that refer to the other.

Should the correct planning procedure have been followed by the applicant, I am sure the application would have sailed through. They have not taken that course, and have in fact flouted the planning process and rules and gone ahead with much of the development requiring retrospective permission (something they have done repeatedly over the lifetime of this site).

This gives the Committee the opportunity to decide on this application with perfect hindsight on the true impact on the rural residential community of Compton.

The Chiller units and AHU are in place, and whatever a noise impact survey says, they **are** having a detrimental impact on the local amenity causing loss of sleep, and a constant low level noise that grates when one is sat in our gardens enjoying our wonderful natural surroundings.

I am sure a workable solution is possible, but only if strict noise limits and operational times are put in place, these need to be monitored ideally automatically. The applicant operates in an industry which requires monitoring of many environmental factors, I am sure they could install noise monitoring equipment, with the result made public or at least monitored by an independent 3rd party (The Parish Council ?)

Any approval will need strong conditions that will enable Enforcement to take action, this includes fixed removal dates for temporary items, and levels for noise.

We are STILL waiting for the planting and screening for this development first promised 20 years ago.

Lastly, I am sure the applicant is doing sterling work in supporting the fight against COVID 19, but these units were installed 2 years before anyone had heard of COVID a fact missed off their supporting letter.

Keith Simms